files that are mentioned by Leyda are used by an operating system to install a driver <u>after</u> the operating system is installed. Thus, the combination of Leyda and the alleged admitted prior art does not teach or suggest the claimed invention or provide the possible advantages that flow from the claimed invention. Therefore, for at least these reasons, the Assignee requests withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 34-43.

## Claims 44-51

is the street

The article of claim 44 includes at least one computer readable storage medium that stores instructions to cause a computer to generate an external configuration file that is external to an operating system package and install the operating system package on the computer based on information in the external configuration file.

See discussion of claim 34 above. In particular, neither the alleged admitted prior art nor Leyda teach or suggest instructions to install an operating system package based on the information in a configuration file. Therefore, for at least these reasons, the Assignee requests withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 44-51.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, the Assignee requests withdrawal of the § 103 rejections and a favorable action in the form a Notice of Allowance. Please credit any overpayments and charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 20-1504 (MICE-0083-US).

June 15, 2000

Date

Fred G. Pruher, Jr., Reg. No.

ctfully submitted.

Trop, Pruner & Hu, P.C.

8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100

Houston, TX 77024

713/468-8880

713/468-8883 [fax]